2	
3	
4	S.N
5	
6	<sup>2</sup> De
7	

## HYDROCHEMICAL BEHAVIOUR OF LONG-LIVED NATURAL **RADIONUCLIDES IN SPANISH GROUNDWATERS**

M Pérez-Moreno<sup>1</sup>, J.L Guerrero<sup>1</sup>, F. Mosqueda<sup>1</sup>, M.J. Gázquez<sup>2</sup> y J.P. Bolívar<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Departament of Integrated Sciences, University of Huelva, Huelva, Spain. partment of Applied Physics, University of Cadiz, University Marine Research Institute (INMAR) Cádiz. Spain.

8 9

1

#### 10 ABSTRACT

11 This research was focused on the analyses the hydrochemical behaviour of long-lived natural radionuclides (<sup>210</sup>Po, <sup>210</sup>Pb, <sup>234,238</sup>U, <sup>230,232</sup>Th, <sup>226,228</sup>Ra) in groundwaters located in 12 13 Spanish aquifers with different geological characteristics and evaluate the dose by ingestion of these waters. The study was performed by the gross alpha-beta, alpha 14 spectrometry and physicochemical analysis of a set of bottled drinking waters. 15 16 Radionuclide activities in the investigated aquifers were highly variable. High concentration of <sup>210</sup>Pb is associated with granitic bedrock and reducing conditions. On 17 the other hand, the highest <sup>238,234</sup>U concentrations were found in carbonate aquifers. 18 Activities of <sup>226</sup>Ra are largely controlled by ion exchange reactions due to the presence 19 20 of relatively high concentration of chloride. Several waters exceeded the parametric value for gross alpha mainly due to <sup>234</sup>U, <sup>226</sup>Ra and/or <sup>210</sup>Pb concentrations. Moreover, the 21 content of <sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>210</sup>Pb in the water contributed mainly to the indicative dose. 22

23 **KEYWORDS:** Groundwater, Natural Radioactivity, long-lived radionuclides, Alpha 24 spectrometry, Indicative Dose, Spain.

25

#### 26 1. INTRODUCTION

Most European countries as well as in the rest of the world, the consumption of commercial mineral water from groundwater has increased significantly in the last two decades. Up to date, more than 2000 natural mineral waters have been recognised in the EU, where about 52 billion litres were consumed in 2017, corresponding to 26.2 % of the world's consumption (EFBW, 2019).

32 The mineral composition and properties of each natural mineral water are derived from 33 the geological conditions present in the area where the water is extracted. The presence 34 of natural radionuclides in the host rock and their interaction with water allow part of the 35 natural radionuclides to be transferred into the water (Chau et al., 2011; Rozmaric et al., 36 2012). This fact can pose a risk to human health due to the ingestion of water, which is 37 one of the pathways of incorporation of radioactive substances into the human body (Jia 38 et al., 2009; Manu et al., 2014). Studying the concentration of natural radionuclides in 39 drinking bottled water can be used to determine the behaviour of these radionuclides 40 under different hydrogeological conditions (pH, ionic strength, redox potential, etc.).

The effective dose received through this pathway (consumption of bottled groundwater) 41 is mainly due to <sup>40</sup>K, and both <sup>238</sup>U- and <sup>232</sup>Th-series radionuclides present in drinking 42 43 water. Polonium-210 is one of the most radiotoxic natural radioactive isotopes known due 44 to its relatively long half-life (138 days). Polonium and lead can be distributed throughout 45 the soft tissue of the body and accumulate in the liver, kidneys and bones. The accumulation of <sup>210</sup>Pb in the skeleton provides another source of <sup>210</sup>Po over time 46 47 (Carvalho et al., 2017). In addition, Ra is also one of the most toxic radioelements due to 48 its tendency to be accumulated in the bones, since its chemical and biological behaviour 49 is similar to that of alkaline earth metals (Ca, Sr, Ba) (Altikulac et al., 2015; Stackelberg

2

et al., 2018). Uranium seems to follow the same tendency (IAEA, 2014), as it is retained
in the body primarily in the skeleton (vertebrae, ribs and femur). In addition to these,
thorium is deposited mainly on bone surfaces, where it is retained for long time periods
(Bhatti et al., 2012).

54 In accordance with the Council Directive 2013/51/EURATOM, the contribution to the 55 exposure of the general public as a whole from practices which involve a risk from 56 ionising radiation must be kept as low as reasonably achievable. The strategies to indicate 57 radioactivity levels in drinking water may include screening for certain radionuclides, or 58 gross alpha-beta activity screening. Gross alpha and beta activities are very useful 59 parameters for the preliminary screening of waters. From the radiological point of view, the most significant natural radionuclides in waters are <sup>226</sup>Ra, an alpha emitter ( $t_{1/2} = 1600$ 60 y), and  $^{228}$ Ra, a beta emitter (t<sub>1/2</sub> = 5.75 y) (IAEA, 2014). Other radionuclides, such as 61 <sup>210</sup>Pb, a beta emitter ( $t_{1/2}$  = 22.3 y), and <sup>210</sup>Po, an alpha emitter ( $t_{1/2}$  = 138.4 days), can also 62 limit the quality of drinking water (Ansoborlo et al., 2012). 63

The EU recommended screening levels for gross alpha activity of 0.1 Bq L<sup>-1</sup> and for gross beta activity of 1.0 Bq L<sup>-1</sup> in order to keep that the Indicative Dose (ID) lower than 0.1 mSv (EURATOM, 2013). However, the concentrations of certain radionuclides, such as  $^{226,228}$ Ra,  $^{210}$ Pb and  $^{210}$ Po, among others, must be measured through accurate dose assessments. Recently, Spanish regulations incorporated this directive about requirements for the protection of the health of the general public with regard to radioactive substances in water intended for human consumption (RD 314/2016).

Studies for measuring the natural radioactivity and estimating the effective dose of bottled
mineral drinking waters have been carried out worldwide (Kozlowska et al., 2007;
Karamanis et al., 2007; Wallner et al, 2008; Vasile et al., 2016). However, those

performed in Spain (Baeza et al., 1995; Ortega et al., 1996; Herranz et al., 1997; Dueñas
et al., 1997; Manjón et al., 1997; Martín Sanchez et al., 1999; Palomo et al., 2007), were
all published before the new regulations and the majority were focused on measuring
gross alpha and beta activity.

78 Moreover, the existence of high activity concentration of natural radionuclides in bottled 79 mineral drinking waters depends on the characteristics of the aquifer and the rock 80 substrate. The literature consulted (Chau et al., 2011; UNSCEAR, 2016) shows that the 81 concentration of uranium in igneous rocks is higher than in sedimentary rocks, and felsic 82 igneous rocks contain significantly more uranium than mafic rocks. Under oxidizing 83 conditions, insoluble U(IV) species dissolve, giving rise to highly soluble uranyl ion,  $UO_2^{2+}$ . At pH range between 6 and 8, typical values for groundwater, uranyl ions tend to 84 85 form soluble complexes with inorganic ligands (i.e., phosphates and carbonates) 86 (Markich, 2002; Sherif and Sturchio, 2018). However, in solutions with low ionic 87 strength, the concentration of U can be limited by cation exchange and adsorption 88 processes.

89 The concentration of Ra isotopes in groundwater is typically correlated with the 90 concentration of uranium and thorium isotopes and their decay products in the bedrock. Ra in dissolution can be produced by alpha recoil of its parent nuclide (<sup>230</sup>Th), contained 91 92 in the solid materials surface of the aquifer since its parent is very insoluble, or can also 93 reach the solution phase by its direct dissolution from the bedrock (Vinson et al., 2013). 94 Meanwhile, the presence of radium isotopes in groundwaters depends mainly on the both <sup>230</sup>Th and <sup>226</sup>Ra activity concentrations in the host rock and physicochemical properties 95 96 of the aquifer groundwater. The presence of both Fe and Mn hydroxides high 97 concentrations in the aquifer water tends to reduce the Ra solubility, but, on the other hand, high ionic strength tends to increase the desorption of Ra-isotopes from the bedrock 98

into the groundwaters (Sturchio et al., 2001; Szabo et al., 2012, Sherif et al., 2019;
Stackelberg et al., 2018). Radium is also controlled by coprecipitation/dissolution
processes. For example, the very low solubility of the barite (BaSO<sub>4</sub>), limits the Ra
concentration in the water due to the co-precipitation of Ra bound to barite (Grundl and
Cape, 2006; Grandia et al., 2008, Sherif and Sturchio 2018).

104 In view of these facts, knowledge of the distribution of long-lived natural radionuclides 105 in groundwater as a function of the geochemical and hydrological Spanish regional 106 conditions, can help to assess the exposure and to inform to population about the risk of 107 having waters with high activity concentration of a certain natural radionuclides. So, the 108 aim of this paper was to evaluate the radiological quality of a significant set of drinking 109 bottled waters of different Spanish brands with different geological origin, as well as to study the behaviour of natural radionuclides (<sup>210</sup>Po, <sup>210</sup>Pb, <sup>234,238</sup>U, <sup>230,232</sup>Th, <sup>226,228</sup>Ra) of 110 111 the groundwater.

#### 112 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 113 **2.1. SAMPLES**

Fifteen different brands of bottled drinking water from different Spanish locations were purchased in local supermarkets in the area of Huelva in July 2016. Several samples were taken for each brand to evaluate possible differences in the water properties between batches. All mineral waters were preserved in their original bottles and their natural state until the beginning of this work in September 2018. The waters selected are included in Table 1, as well as the code of each batch and their origin.

Figure 1 shows the main lithologies of Spain and the location of the springs where the bottled drinking waters were taken. As can be observed, the analysed waters belong to different geologic origin, including igneous (granites), metamorphic (schists), carbonated (limestones and dolomites) and sedimentary (conglomerate and gravels). More details of
the geology of the aquifers can be seen in Figure S1 of Supplementary Information.

*Table 1. Summarised information of the different brands of bottled water analysed.*127

*Figure 1.* General lithological map of Spain and the location of the bottled water sources
analysed.

130

#### 131 2.2. PHYSICOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS

132 The pH and electrical conductivity (EC) of the bottled water samples were measured 133 using a previously calibrated CRISON multi parameter electrode, model MM40+. The 134 trace elements were analysed using an ICP-MS, model Perkin Elmer Sciex ELAN 9000, 135 from Activation Laboratories Ltd. (Actlab, Canada). Concentrations of anions (F<sup>-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, NO<sup>2</sup>, NO<sup>3-</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) were analysed at the University of Huelva through high-136 performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a Metrohm 883 basic ion 137 138 chromatograph equipped with Metrosep columns. In all measurement techniques several 139 reference materials were used in order to assure the quality of the results.

A piper diagram was performed with EASY QUIM software (GHS, 2019). The Piper diagram is used as visualisation tool for find out the hydro-chemical of groundwater samples. The Piper diagram consists of two composition ternary diagrams, along with a rhombus plot in the middle. The composition ternary on the left is mapped based on normalised mass fractions of three cationic components ( $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Na^+ + K^+$ ), whilst the aspect ternary on the right is based on three anionic components ( $Cl^-$ ,  $SO_4^{2-}$ ,  $CO_3^{2-} +$ HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) (Piper, 1953).

#### 147 2.3. GROSS ALPHA-BETA ACTIVITY

Both the gross alpha and gross beta activities were measured to identify the most active samples, using a multi-detector low background  $\alpha/\beta$  counting system (Berthold LB770). The sample detectors were window gas-flow counters with approximately 5.0 cm in diameter. The counting gas was a mixture of 90% argon and 10% methane. All samples were placed in a 5 cm diameter stainless steel planchet for counting. The operating voltage on the detector was selected to be 1700 V.

The system was calibrated for simultaneous  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  measurements by preparing standard samples with known concentrations of both <sup>241</sup>Am (27.5 ± 0.2 Bq g<sup>-1</sup>) and <sup>90</sup>Sr/<sup>90</sup>Y (160.8 ± 0.4 Bq g<sup>-1</sup>) solutions. The counting efficiencies were 5–15% for  $\alpha$  and 30–39% for  $\beta$ depending on mass thickness (mg/cm<sup>2</sup>). The background of the detectors presented values of around 0.02 and 0.2 cpm for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  windows, respectively.

159 To determine gross alpha and beta activities, aliquots of each sample were taken, ranging 160 between 0.2 and 3 L, depending on their dry residue, to achieve a mass thickness of about 161  $6-8 \text{ mg/cm}^2$ . Then, the samples were evaporated at a controlled temperature up to few 162 millilitres on a hot plate and then transferred to a striated stainless steel planchet. This 163 was evaporated to dryness under an infrared lamp. The samples were kept in a desiccator 164 for a few days, (between 2 and 7 days, in order to avoid the appearance of <sup>222</sup>Rn and its descendants) and then they were weighed and counted. Alpha/beta counting was 165 166 determined by averaging the results obtained from two cycles of 600 min.

The determination of the gross alpha/beta activities was conducted following the ISO
norms (UNE EN ISO 10704, 2016; UNE 73340-2, 2003). The validation of the method
was carried out using several samples from intercomparison exercises organised by the
Spanish Nuclear Safety Commission (Consejo de Seguridad Nuclear, CSN) (Table 2).

7

171 Since no certified water samples were available, the samples consisted of calcareous soil

172 (CSN-2016), an atmospheric filter (CSN-2017) and milk powder (CSN-2018).

Table 2. Gross alpha and beta activity concentrations obtained in the intercomparison
exercises organised by the Spanish Nuclear Safety Commission (CSN).

# 175 2.4. RADIONUCLIDE CONCENTRATIONS BY ALPHA-PARTICLE 176 SPECTROMETRY

177 The radiochemical procedure to isolate both U- and Th-isotopes was based on the 178 tributylphosphate (TBP) back-extraction methodology (Holm and Fukai, 1977). In this 179 method, the sample is dissolved in 8M HNO<sub>3</sub>, and then the actinides are extracted into 180 tributilphosphate (TBP), whereas the aqueous nitric fraction contains the rest of the non-181 actinide radioelements (Po, Ra, etc.). This back-extracted by using 1.5 M HCl + Xilen, 182 and then U is back-extracted from the organic phase with distilled water. On the other 183 hand, Po and Ra remain in the 8M HNO<sub>3</sub> phase, and Po is self-deposited onto silver disks 184 in 2M HCl by the method proposed by Flynn (1968). The Po remaining in dissolution 185 contains the Ra-isotopes, which are isolated by cation exchange chromatography, using 186 resins such as AG50X12 (Pérez-Moreno, 2019). The thin radioactive source for U and Th 187 was obtained by electrodeposition following the methodology described by Talvitie 188 (1972) and Hallstadius (1984), whereas the one for Ra was obtained by BaSO<sub>4</sub> 189 microprecipitation (Blanco et al., 2002). Then, the activity concentrations of the different 190 isotopes were measured using passivated implanted planar silicon (PIPS) detectors, (EG 191 & G Ortec). For these determinations, the samples were spiked with accurately known activities of  $^{232}$ U (138.9 ± 0.6 mBq mL<sup>-1</sup>),  $^{229}$ Th (177.6 ± 1.1 mBq mL<sup>-1</sup>) and  $^{209}$ Po (105.6 192  $\pm 0.7 \text{ mBq mL}^{-1}$ ). 193

The quality control of the measurements was carried out by using: a) one blank and one replicate every five samples, b) several samples from the intercomparison exercise organised by the Spanish Nuclear Safety Commission (CSN) and c) certified reference materials: phosphogypsum (CSN-2008) and soils (IAEA-326 and IAEA-327) (Table 3).

*Table 3. Results obtained in the intercomparison exercise and certified reference materials.*

The activity concentration of <sup>210</sup>Pb in all water samples was considered to be in secular equilibrium with <sup>210</sup>Po between the sampling time and the measuring time (2 years). Thus, the activity concentration of <sup>210</sup>Pb was determined by measuring that of <sup>210</sup>Po.

203 2.5. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

204 A principal component analysis (PCA) using XLSTAT was conducted to analyse the 205 groundwater data. This statistical analysis was carried out to find out if the samples are 206 related to each other. PCA is a method for reduce a large number of variables, finding 207 new variables (principal components), which are ordered so that the first few retain most 208 of the variation present in all of the original variables, making the data easier to 209 understand (Jolliffe, 2002). The PCA is used to study the relationship between variables 210 and identify how groups of variables change with respect to each other The Spearman 211 correlation matrix has been used since the majority of the variables do not follow a normal 212 distribution (Davis, 2002).

213

**2.6. INDICATIVE DOSE** 

According to Directive EURATOM (2013), when the following formula is satisfied (Concentration Index (CI)) (Eq. 1), it may be assumed that the ID is below the parametric value of 0.1 mSv and no further investigation shall be required:

$$CI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{Ci \ (obs)}{Ci \ (der)} \le 1$$
 Eq. 1

218

219 Where:

220 Ci (obs) = observed concentration of radionuclide i

221 Ci (der) = derived concentration of radionuclide i

n = number of radionuclides detected.

The CI was calculated for all the water samples analysed. In those cases, in which the parametric value was exceeded, the ID was determined according to the measured radionuclide concentrations and the dose coefficients established in Annex 3 of RD 783/2001 (ICRP, 2007), using the following equation, based on 730 L of annual ingestion for adults:

$$ID = \sum_{j} h(g)_{j} J_{j}$$
 Eq. 2

228

Where:

230  $h(g)_j$  = effective dose committed per unit of incorporation by radionuclide j (Sv Bq<sup>-1</sup>) 231 ingested by an individual belonging to the age group g;

232  $J_j$  = the corresponding incorporation by ingestion of radionuclide j (Bq).

#### 233 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### **3.1. PHYSICOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS**

The physicochemical parameters and element concentrations for all water samples are shown in Figures 2-4, and Table S1 of Supplementary Information, in which the waters

10

237 were classified according the lithology. The pH ranged from 6.5 to 7.5, showing the 238 neutral character of the studied waters. The waters from carbonate aquifers shows an 239 average slightly higher  $(7.2 \pm 0.1)$  (uncertainty expressed as the standard deviation of the 240 mean) than waters from granite aquifers (6.7  $\pm$  0.2). The electrical conductivity (EC) of 241 the samples ranged from 19 to 289  $\mu$ S cm<sup>-1</sup>, with an average of 84 ± 20  $\mu$ S cm<sup>-1</sup> for waters from granite bedrock and  $231 \pm 24 \text{ }\mu\text{S} \text{ }\text{cm}^{-1}$  for carbonate bedrock. The dry residue (DR) 242 varied from 35 to 724 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (average =  $179 \pm 43$  mg L<sup>-1</sup> in granite aquifers and 507  $\pm$ 243 244 62 mg L<sup>-1</sup> in carbonate aquifers). Waters from carbonate bedrock present highest values 245 of these parameters in relation to waters from granite aquifers. Concretely, CAZ, VEL 246 and SIS waters presented the highest pH (pH = 7.2 -7.4), EC (274-289  $\mu$ S cm<sup>-1</sup>) and DR (591-724 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), whereas AQA and SIF showed the lowest values for these three 247 parameters (pH = 5.8-6.6; EC = 19-25  $\mu$ S cm<sup>-1</sup>; DR= 35-54 mg L<sup>-1</sup>). This can be observed 248 249 in Figure 2, where higher pH shows higher EC and DR and vice versa.

#### 250 *Figure 2. pH, EC and DR values of the bottled waters analysed.*

251 The relationship between pH, EC and DR mainly depend on the presence of ions in the 252 solution. The concentrations of the major elements and ions are shown as box and whisker 253 plots (Figure 3). The top and bottom of the box are the first quartile (Q1) and the third 254 quartile (Q3), respectively, and the distance between them is the Interquartile Range 255 (IQR). Data beyond the 1.5-IQR may be considered as outliers (extreme values), 256 extending the whiskers to the highest and lowest values. The existence of high maximum 257 values for concentrations of these elements in some waters causes mean values to be much 258 higher than the median. According to the median values, the elements and ions can be ordered from highest to lowest concentrations as follows:  $HCO_3^- > Ca > SO_4^{2-} > Cl > Mg$ 259  $> NO_3^- > Na > Si > K > F$ . The Shapiro-Wilk normality test results (Table S2-260

Supplementary Information) indicated that, with the exception of  $NO_3^-$  and  $HCO_3^-$ , these ions do not follow a normal distribution.

263 In most cases, the high concentration of bicarbonate and calcium ions are relevant with respect to the other ions. The bicarbonate concentration ranged from  $13 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  to 409 mg 264  $L^{-1}$ , while calcium concentration varies from  $1.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$  to  $88 \pm 4 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$ . The highest 265 266 concentration of these ions is found in waters from carbonated aquifers (HCO<sub>3</sub>:  $284 \pm 39$ mg  $L^{-1}$ ; Ca: 69 ± 8 mg  $L^{-1}$ ) (NAT, SOL, CAZ, AQB, VEL, SIS). In addition, the 267 268 concentration of magnesium is especially high in these waters  $(15 - 46 \text{ mg L}^{-1})$ . The high mean concentration of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (195  $\pm$  33 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), Ca (41  $\pm$  9 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) and Mg (27  $\pm$  5 mg 269 L<sup>-1</sup>) is clearly related to the dissolution of the carbonated (limestone and dolomite) host 270 271 rocks of aquifers. These relations can be clearly observed in the correlation matrix of 272 principal component analysis (PCA) and Figures S1 and S2 of Supplementary 273 Information. This fact is associated with the dominant presence of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in the pH range 274 of water intended for human consumption (pH = 6-8).

275 On the other hand, Na and Cl, which are typically related to the dissolution of evaporites 276 and salts, showed low correlation in the waters studied (Figure S3a- Supplementary 277 Information). Na and Cl showed a minimum value (average:  $3.9 \pm 0.9$  mg/L and  $7.7 \pm 2.4$ 278 mg/L, respectively) in aquifers from carbonate bedrock and maximum (average:  $24 \pm 8$ 279 mg/L and  $9.8 \pm 1.8$ , respectively) from granite aquifers.

The  $SO_4^{2-}$  ion is usually associated in aquifers with the dissolution of sulphate evaporites (mainly gypsum) interbedded with carbonated host rocks. The  $SO_4^{2-}$  concentration showed a mean concentration of  $3.7 \pm 1.3 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  in waters from granite aquifers, and 28  $\pm 6 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  in waters from carbonate bedrock. In the studied waters, good correlations of  $SO_4^{2-}$  ion with Ca were found (Figure S3b- Supplementary Information), indicating the dissolution of calcium sulphates compounds in the studied waters.

In contrast, Si showed clearly higher concentrations in the waters from igneous and metamorphic host rocks, with a maximum of  $30 \pm 2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  (AGS). In addition, Si shows certain correlations with Na and K (PCA matrix correlation), suggesting the dissolution of silicates.

#### 290 Figure 3. Box and whisker plots of major elements and ions.

291 Figure 4 shows the Piper diagrams. In the anionic diagram it can be seen that most of the 292 analysed waters were carbonated; only two were chlorinated, and one was mixed. On the 293 other hand, calcium was the most abundant cation, according to the cationic diagram, 294 whereas some waters contained high concentrations of sodium and potassium cations. 295 Thus, from the central diagram, 57.1 % of the waters were classified as calcium 296 bicarbonated (AQB, CAZ, REG, NAT, VEL, LAN, SIS and SOL), 21.4 % as sodium 297 bicarbonated (AGS, CAB and MON), 14.3 % as calcium chlorinated (AQA and VIR) and 298 7.1 % as sodium chlorinated (SIF).

#### 299 Figure 4. Piper diagram of the bottled water sources.

Waters from SIF displayed a relatively high concentrations of Al, As and Mn (143  $\mu$ g L-1, 2.9  $\mu$ g L-1 and 14.5  $\mu$ g L-1, respectively). Even though SIF has the highest As concentration among the investigated aquifers, the As level is still below maximum contaminant level of As in drinking water (Table S1-Supplementary Information). The presence of As is probably due to conditions that favour the desorption or dissolution of Al and Mn oxides and/or oxyhydroxides, in which As is adsorbed (Bowell et al., 2014). The occurrence of strongly reducing conditions at near-neutral pH leads to the desorption 307 of As from mineral oxides (Smedley and Kinniburgh, 2002). Presumably, similar 308 conditions caused Pb to be released into the aquifer where AQA was taken (Clausen et 309 al., 2011). In addition, it is worth highlighting the presence of Li and Cs in AGS, CAB 310 and MON, which are waters characterized by high concentrations of Na in solution (Fig. 311 4). In those cases, Li and Cs seem to have the same behaviour as sodium (PCA matrix 312 correlation), which is probably related to the dissolution of silicates in which they are 313 bonded (Deverel et al., 1990). The content of Ba in LAN, REG, VEL and MON, Sr in 314 NAT, and Ni in VEL is mainly determined by the dissolution of BaCO<sub>3</sub>, SrCO<sub>3</sub> and 315 NiCO<sub>3</sub> from the host rock, respectively. Several studies have confirmed that the presence of bicarbonate ions in groundwaters ensures the existence of  $Ba^{2+}$ ,  $Sr^{2+}$  and  $Ni^{2+}$  in 316 317 solution, forming highly soluble salts (Man and Hooda, 2010; Giménez-Forcada and 318 Vega-Alegre., 2015; Tudorache et al., (2018)).

319 On the other hand, the concentrations of Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Hg and Se were below the 320 detection limit (0.01; 0.5; 0.2; 10; 0.2; 0.2  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively).

In general, the salinity range indicates that the investigated waters are below the parametric value established in RD 1798/2010 (de 30 de diciembre). Thus, all the bottled waters analysed in this work are considered potable from the physicochemical point of view.

#### 325 **3.2. GROSS ALPHA-BETA ACTIVITY CONCENTRATION**

Gross alpha and gross beta activity concentrations in the water samples are shown in Figure 5 and Table S3 of Supplementary Information. The gross alpha activity concentration ranged from  $10 \pm 2 \text{ mBq } \text{L}^{-1}$  (VIR) to  $195 \pm 13 \text{ mBq } \text{L}^{-1}$  (VEL) with an average of  $69 \pm 22 \text{ mBq } \text{L}^{-1}$  and  $80 \pm 21 \text{ mBq } \text{L}^{-1}$  for granite and carbonate aquifers respectively, although in three water samples (AGS, BEZ and SIS) it was below the detection limit (DL = 10 mBq L<sup>-1</sup>). Three of the investigated aquifers (MON, SIF, and VEL) exceeded the EU recommended level for gross alpha (0.1 Bq L<sup>-1</sup>). The high level of alpha activity is likely related to the lithologic composition and hydrogeological parameters of the bedrock (Chau et al., 2011). In these cases, the geology is governed by carbonated rocks (limestone and dolomite) located in the centre of Spain and igneous rocks (granite) located in the west, north and centre (Baeza et al., 1995; Dueñas et. al, 1997).

338 On the other hand, the gross beta activity concentration of the water samples ranged from  $41 \pm 1 \text{ mBq } \text{L}^{-1}$  (VIR) to  $585 \pm 10 \text{ mBq } \text{L}^{-1}$  (VEL), with an average of  $157 \pm 43 \text{ mBq } \text{L}^{-1}$ 339 in waters from granite bedrock and  $191 \pm 63 \text{ mBq L}^{-1}$  in the carbonate bedrock. In contrast 340 341 with the gross alpha activity, the gross beta activity concentration of all investigated samples is below the EU recommended value for drinking water of 1 Bq  $L^{-1}$ . It is worth 342 343 highlighting that those waters with higher gross beta activity also had higher K and Na 344 concentrations. The gross beta activity is very related to K concentration since the <sup>40</sup>K/K 345 isotopic ratio in nature is constant, i.e. 320 Bq/kg of 40K = 1% of natural K. This fact, 346 joined to the concentration of the radionuclide <sup>40</sup>K is generally 1-2 orders of magnitude 347 higher than the remaining natural beta-emitters, justify the good fitting found between gross beta and  ${}^{40}$ K concentration, Gross beta = (0.78 ± 0.10) [40K] + (-10 ± 33) (R2 = 348 349 0.90). Na is really related to K since both elements belong to the silicate compound 350 present in the bedrock, giving this fact an indirect correlation with the gross beta, formed mainly by the contribution of  $^{40}$ K. 351

352 Figure 5. Gross  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  activity concentrations of the analysed water samples (DL= 10 353 mBq L<sup>-1</sup>). These results are consistent with those of previous works (Andreo and Carrasco, 1999; Martín Sanchez et al., 1999; Palomo et al., 2007), where the gross alpha and beta activities of some mineral waters were analysed (AGS, AQA, BEZ, CAB, LAN, MON, SOL and VEL), and which confirm that higher alpha activity concentrations generally correspond to areas where granitic formations are predominant. This is due to the fact that granitic rock is characterized by a high concentration of radionuclides of the <sup>238</sup>U series (Korkmaz Gorur et al., 2011; Sherif and Sturchio, 2018).

361

#### 362 **3.3. RADIONUCLIDE ACTIVITY CONCENTRATIONS**

The concentrations of natural radionuclides (<sup>210</sup>Pb, <sup>234,238</sup>U, <sup>230,232</sup>Th, <sup>226,228</sup>Ra) in the bottled waters were measured by alpha-particle spectrometry and are shown in Table 4 and Figure 6.

366 **Table 4.** Activity concentrations  $(mBq L^{-1})$  of <sup>210</sup>Pb, <sup>238-234</sup>U, <sup>228-226</sup>Ra, activity ratios of 367  $^{234}U/^{238}U$ , <sup>226</sup>Ra/<sup>234</sup>U, <sup>210</sup>Pb/<sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>226</sup>Ra/<sup>228</sup>Ra (DL = 1 mBq L<sup>-1</sup>) and Concentration 368 Index (CI). \*Derived Concentrations (DC) for radioactivity in water intended for human 369 consumption (RD 314/2016).

The activity concentrations of <sup>210</sup>Pb ranged from  $1.4 \pm 0.5$  (BEZ) to  $78 \pm 3$  mBq L<sup>-1</sup> (SIF), 370 with an average value of  $20 \pm 7$  mBq L<sup>-1</sup> (uncertainty expressed as the standard deviation 371 of the mean) in waters from granite bedrock and  $5.6 \pm 0.7$  mBq L<sup>-1</sup> in carbonate aquifers. 372 The activity concentration of 52 % of the bottled waters analysed was below 10 mBq L<sup>-1</sup> 373 and that of 33 % was below the detection limit (1 mBq L<sup>-1</sup>). The lowest activities of <sup>210</sup>Pb 374 375 were measured in waters, where sedimentary rocks exist, whereas the highest activities 376 were measured in aquifers of granitic bedrock, especially the ones located in Extremadura (AQA and SIF). This zone consists mainly of acidic plutonic rock (basically granite), 377

378 formations of low-grade metamorphism, and tertiary and quaternary sedimentary 379 materials, notably alluvia, limestone, clay and materials from the erosion of shale and granite with a range of <sup>238</sup>U activity concentration of 60-80 Bq kg<sup>-1</sup> (Baeza et al., 1995; 380 CSN, 2000; Galán López and Martín Sánchez., 2008). Therefore, high values of <sup>210</sup>Pb in 381 382 these waters are attributed to the high rates of supply due to higher total U concentrations in solids, and possibly high desorption rates of <sup>210</sup>Pb (Porcelli, 2014). In this sense, AQA 383 384 and SIF present high Mn concentration, indicating possibly reducing conditions in which 385 <sup>210</sup>Pb is released.

The activity concentrations of  $^{238}\text{U}$  ranged from 1.8  $\pm$  0.2 (AQA) to 33  $\pm$  2 mBq  $L^{\text{-1}}$ 386 (REG), with an average of 7.5  $\pm$  3.3 mBq  $L^{\text{-1}}$  (granite aquifers) and 9.9  $\pm$  1.8 mBq  $L^{\text{-1}}$ 387 (carbonate aquifers), whereas those of  $^{234}$ U ranged between 1.8 ± 0.3 (BEZ) and 55 ± 4 388 mBq L<sup>-1</sup> (SOL), with an average of  $11 \pm 5$  mBq L<sup>-1</sup> (granite aquifers) and  $22 \pm 6$  mBq L<sup>-1</sup> 389 390 <sup>1</sup> (carbonate aquifers). Furthermore, the concentration of three waters (CAB, VIR and AGS) was below the detection limit (1 mBq  $L^{-1}$ ). It is worth highlighting the values of 391  $^{238}$ U and  $^{234}$ U in REG water, with a concentration of  $33 \pm 2 \text{ mBg L}^{-1}$  and  $53 \pm 3 \text{ mBg L}^{-1}$ 392 <sup>1</sup>, respectively; and in SOL water, whose concentrations for  $^{238}$ U and  $^{234}$ U were 20 ± 2 393 mBq L<sup>-1</sup> and 55  $\pm$  4 mBq L<sup>-1</sup>. The activity concentration of <sup>238</sup>U was in agreement with 394 395 the uranium concentration measured by ICP-MS (R = 0.95), with an intercept value of - $0.38 \pm 1.1$  and a slope of  $15 \pm 1$ . These data are in line with the conversion factor of 396 397 radioelement concentration given by IAEA (2003).

Some samples displayed a clear disequilibrium between  ${}^{238}$ U and  ${}^{234}$ U. The  ${}^{234}$ U/ ${}^{238}$ U activity ratio ranged from 0.95 ± 0.22 to 3.1 ± 1.3 (Table 4); in most cases it was greater than unity. The disequilibrium between these two isotopes in water is due to the preferential leaching of  ${}^{234}$ U, favored by alpha recoil effects (Chau et al., 2011,

402 UNSCEAR, 2016). The highest activity concentrations of  $^{234}$ U were found in waters from 403 springs formed in carbonated rock, where the greatest disequilibrium between  $^{238}$ U and 404  $^{234}$ U was found (SOL, CAZ, AQB, VEL and SIS). The high activity concentration of U 405 isotopes in waters from carbonated aquifers may be attributed to the formation of soluble 406 carbonate complexes such as  $UO_2(CO_3)_2^{2-}$  and  $UO_2(CO_3)_3^{4-}$  under oxidising conditions 407 and neutral pH (Shabana and Kinsara, 2014; Guerrero et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2018; Erőss 408 et al., 2018).

409 In some waters, the secular equilibrium between  ${}^{238}$ U and  ${}^{234}$ U is reached, specifically 410 NAT, LAN, BEZ, SIF and MON. This may occur as a result of two factors: 1) the long 411 residence time of the water in the aquifer, greater than the half-life of U<sup>234</sup>, and 2) low 412 concentration of U<sup>238</sup> in the aquifer solids, thus both isotopes could reach the equilibrium 413 in the dissolved phase.

414

The activity concentrations of Th-isotopes ( $^{228}$ Th,  $^{230}$ Th and  $^{232}$ Th) were below the detection limit (1 mBq L<sup>-1</sup>) in all waters. This is due to the fact that Th has only one stable oxidation state (IV) under all redox conditions in natural waters. Therefore it has a very low mobility under most environmental conditions, mainly due to the high stability of the insoluble oxide ThO<sub>2</sub> (Santschi et al., 2006; Bhatti et al., 2012).

420 The activity concentrations of <sup>226</sup>Ra ranged from  $1.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ mBq L}^{-1}$  (VIR) to  $194 \pm 9 \text{ mBq}$ 421 L<sup>-1</sup> (MON) (average of granite aquifers:  $35 \pm 17 \text{ mBq L}^{-1}$ ; average of carbonate aquifers: 422  $20 \pm 9 \text{ mBq L}^{-1}$ ). The activity concentration of 56 % of the analysed waters was lower than 423  $10 \text{ mBq L}^{-1}$  and that of only 7.4 % of the samples was below the detection limit (1 mBq 424 L<sup>-1</sup>). The occurrence of Ra in groundwater depends on the concentration of the parent in 425 the rock matrix, but also on variations in Ra supply to water by alpha recoil, 426 adsorption/desorption, ion exchange and dissolution/precipitation processes (Sherif et al., 427 2018). The relation of Ra concentrations with dominant solutes present in the waters can 428 reflect the hydrochemical factors that control their presence (Sherif and Sturchio, 2018). 429 The highest activity concentration was found in waters with granitic rock interaction, 430 specifically in the northeast of Spain (AQA, SIF and MON). This fact is expected since the highest concentrations of <sup>238</sup>U (progenitor of <sup>226</sup>Ra) are found in these kinds of rocks, 431 concretely about 60-80 Bq kg<sup>-1</sup> of  $^{238}$ U in Extremadura and 80-350 Bq kg<sup>-1</sup> of  $^{238}$ U in 432 433 Galicia (Stackelberg et al., 2018; CSN, 2000; Taboada et al., 2006). However, VEL, 434 which interacted with carbonate rock, also presented a high activity concentration of <sup>226</sup>Ra, possibly due to the preferential leaching of <sup>234</sup>U. But also, the cation exchange 435 process could be affecting the presence of radium since there is a high correlation of <sup>226</sup>Ra 436 437 with Cl<sup>-</sup> concentration (Figure S2 – Supplementary Information).

The activity concentration of  $^{228}$ Ra varied between 1.2 ± 0.5 mBq L<sup>-1</sup> (CAB) and 52 ± 4 438 mBq L<sup>-1</sup> (MON) (Table 4), with an average of  $17 \pm 5$  mBq L<sup>-1</sup> in waters from granite 439 bedrock and  $6.7 \pm 1.6 \text{ mBq L}^{-1}$  in the carbonate bedrock one. The activity concentration 440 of 70 % of the waters was below 10 mBq  $L^{-1}$ , 26 % was between 10-50 mBq  $L^{-1}$ , and 4% 441 was above 50 mBq  $L^{-1}$ . As in the case of <sup>226</sup>Ra, the highest concentrations of <sup>228</sup>Ra were 442 443 found in waters from granite bedrock (AQA, REG and MON) and exceptionally in a 444 carbonate aquifers (VEL), probably because of the existence of high ion strength that 445 tends to increase the desorption of Ra isotopes (Vinson et al., 2013). These waters from 446 carbonate aquifers presented the lowest <sup>228</sup>Ra/<sup>226</sup>Ra activity ratio (<< 1) (Table 4). This fact is related to the preferential leaching of <sup>226</sup>Ra from the host rock with respect to <sup>228</sup>Ra 447 448 in waters with high carbonate concentrations, but also depend on the both <sup>228</sup>Ra and <sup>226</sup>Ra 449 half-lifes (5.7 y, and 1600 y, respectively). (Chau et al., 2011; Sherif et al., 2018). However in most granite aquifers, the <sup>226</sup>Ra/<sup>228</sup>Ra isotopic ratio was close to one. This 450

451 fact is related to the ratio of the activity concentrations of its parent  $^{232}$ Th/ $^{238}$ U in the 452 bedrock, and it is typically close to the unity for granitic or siliceous materials.

453 Most of the waters with granitic host rock presented a high disequilibrium between <sup>226</sup>Ra 454 and <sup>234</sup>U (AQA, MON and SIF) (Table 4), which indicates the preferential leaching of 455 radium over uranium. However, NAT presented an activity concentration of <sup>226</sup>Ra in 456 secular equilibrium with the decay series parents (<sup>238</sup>U and <sup>234</sup>U), since they have equal 457 activities (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U ~ 1; <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>226</sup>Ra ~ 1), which means that the aquifer is sufficiently old 458 (>10<sup>5</sup> years) to reach the secular equilibrium between all the radionuclides of the <sup>238</sup>U 459 series.

Furthermore, some waters from aquifers located in Extremadura (e.g., AQA and SIF) with high concentrations of <sup>226</sup>Ra also showed high concentrations of <sup>210</sup>Pb, although these did not seem to be in equilibrium (Table 4). The conditions of these aquifers suggest that the redox conditions are slightly predominant by comparison with the ion strength in which <sup>210</sup>Pb and <sup>226</sup>Ra are released into the water respectively.

The existence of high maximum values for concentrations of <sup>210</sup>Pb, <sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>228</sup>Ra causes
mean values to be far above the median (Figure 6), which indicates that the distribution
is very asymmetric. According to the Shapiro-Wilk normality test results (Table S2,
Supplementary Information) none of these natural radionuclides follow a normal
distribution.

#### 470 *Figure 6.* Box and whisker plots of natural radionuclide activity concentrations.

471 Waters with high concentrations of  $^{226}$ Ra,  $^{234}$ U and/or  $^{210}$ Po (MON, SIF, and VEL) 472 indicated gross alpha in excess of the recommended level. In addition, the gross alpha 473 calculated (G $\alpha_{calculated}$ ) from the activity concentration of alpha emitter radionuclides 474 contained in the waters presented a strong correlation with the gross alpha measured

475  $(G\alpha_{calculated} = (1.6 \pm 19) + (0.92 \pm 0.21) \cdot G\alpha_{measured}; R^2 = 0.65).$ 

The natural radionuclides activity concentrations found in the bottled waters analysed were similar to those ones measured in previous studies in the Spanish region (Ortega et. al., 1996; Manjon et al., 1997) and other studied aquifers (Khandaker et al., 2017; Jankovic et al., 2012; UNSCEAR, 2008; Jia and Torri 2007; Kralik et al., 2003; Somlai et al., 2002), not being in any case above the EU maximum level ( $^{238}$ U activity 3.0 Bq L<sup>-1</sup>,  $^{234}$ U activity 2.8 Bq L<sup>-1</sup>,  $^{226}$ Ra activity 0.5 Bq L<sup>-1</sup>,  $^{228}$ Ra activity 0.2 Bq L<sup>-1</sup>,  $^{210}$ Pb activity 0.2 Bq L<sup>-1</sup>, 210Po 0.1 Bq L<sup>-1</sup>) given by a dose of 0.1 mSv (EURATOM, 2013).

483

### 3.4. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

484 A principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out to summarize the relationships 485 between the measurement variables (Jolliffe, 2002), in order to detect relevant 486 correlations between the behaviour of the chemical components and natural radionuclides 487 in the water and the hydrochemistry of the host rock (Table 5). The concentrations below 488 the detection limit (DL) were replaced with DL/2 for the statistical analysis, since the 489 percentage of non-detects was below 15% (U.S. EPA, 2006). From the correlation matrix, 490 it is possible to observe relevant hydrochemical relationships between the dissolution of 491 the host rock and the behaviour of the elements and radionuclides in the studied water, as 492 has been commented throughout this work.

493**Table 5.** Spearman correlation matrix (non-Gaussian variables). \* Significant494correlations at significant level p < 0.05.

495

496 The 4 principal factors explain 79% of the sampling variance, although only the first two 497 are of interest (F1: 38%; F2: 22%). This percentage is relatively low, probably due to the 498 heterogeneity of the aquifer systems. F1 explains 38% of the total variance and is 499 determined by pH, EC, DR and the concentration of Ca, Mg, HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> (Figure 500 7A). The concentration of Ca, Mg and  $HCO_3^-$  presented a high correlation with EC 501 (Figure S1, Supplementary Information). Thus, F1 is strongly related to the bulk 502 dissolution factor of the host rock. In the observation plot (Figure 7B), two groups of 503 waters can be distinguished: one is characterised by water from highly carbonated 504 aquifers (NAT, SOL, CAZ, AQB, VEL, REG and SIS) and the other one is characterised 505 by granitic host rock (AQA, SIF, AGS, MON, CAB, LAN and VIR). On the other hand, 506 F2 explains 22% of the total variance and is determined mainly by Cl concentration, gross alpha, <sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>228</sup>Ra activity concentration, probably associated with the presence of 507 508 chloride salts in solution.

#### 509 *Figure 7.* PCA results plot of variables (a) and observations (b).

With respect to the physicochemical parameters,  $^{238}$ U presents a relatively positive correlation with Sr (0.66) and As (0.60), whereas  $^{234}$ U shows correlation with Sr (0.76), Ca (0.65), SO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> (0.64), pH (0.62), HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (0.58), DR (0.57) and EC (0.56). This is the reason why  $^{234}$ U/ $^{238}$ U has the same positive correlations (pH, EC, DR, Ca, Mg and HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ). These relationships are associated with the dissolution of the carbonated host rock.

515 Moreover, the gross alpha presents a positive correlation with  ${}^{238}$ U (0.67),  ${}^{226}$ Ra (0.67) 516 and  ${}^{234}$ U (0.55), as was expected, since they are emitters of alpha particles. Likewise, 517  ${}^{226}$ Ra shows a positive correlation with  ${}^{228}$ Ra (0.83), probably due to their similar 518 chemical behaviour, Cl (0.56), possibly due to the existence of soluble complexes, and 519  ${}^{238}$ U (0.54), which is its decay series parent. 520 On the contrary,  $^{210}$ Pb has a negative correlation with  $^{234}$ U/ $^{238}$ U (-0.80), Ca (-0.71), pH (-

521 0.61),  $HCO_3^-$  (-0.60), EC (-0.57) and DR (-0.57), whereas it only presents a positive 522 correlation with Cs (0.71) and <sup>226</sup>Ra/<sup>234</sup>U (0.70).

523 **3** 

#### **3.5. INDICATIVE DOSE**

The Concentration Index (CI) of the analysed waters from Equation 1 is shown in Table 6. Most of the waters presented a very low CI; specifically, 80 % showed a CI in a range of 0.02-0.35. On the other hand, AQA and MON had a CI > 0.5. SIF is the only water that obtained a CI above 1, suggesting that it could exceed the parametric value of 0.1 mSv. The high values are mainly due to the activity concentration of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>228</sup>Ra, <sup>210</sup>Pb and <sup>210</sup>Po present in these waters, which in turn are related to the granitic host rock.

The CI parameter only indicates whether some waters could exceed the parametric value (0.1 mSv/year); for more accurate information, the dose received by ingestion should be calculated. Table 5 shows the estimated annual doses by internal exposure from drinking SIF, AQA and MON waters (CI > 0.5). The results indicate that only SIF waters among the investigated aquifers exceed the parametric value (0.1 mSv).

535 Table 6. Estimated annual indicative doses (ID) (mSv) by ingestion of 730 L year<sup>-1</sup> for
536 adults from some bottled mineral waters.

#### 537 4. CONCLUSION

In this work, fifteen different brands of bottled drinking water from different regions of Spain were characterised physicochemically and radiologically. The aims were to evaluate their quality according to the current Spanish legislation of water intended for human consumption, as well as to analyse the behaviour of the natural radionuclides according to the hydrogeology of the water springs. The waters presented a neutral character (pH around 6-7) and a low mineralization (DR  $< 500 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ), with carbonate and calcium being the major ions present in aquifers with carbonated lithology, whereas Si, Na and Cl were the main elements found in granitic aquifers. From the physicochemical point of view, the waters complied with the criteria of Spanish regulations.

548 The bottled mineral waters presented a high variability in concentrations of natural 549 radionuclides, which depend on the geology and hydrochemical conditions of the aquifer. Several waters exceeded the parametric value for gross alpha, mainly due to <sup>234</sup>U, <sup>226</sup>Ra 550 and/or <sup>210</sup>Pb concentrations. These natural radionuclides are easily leached from the host 551 rock into the water under certain conditions. <sup>234</sup>U is easily leachate in presence of high 552 concentration of carbonate in solutions. <sup>226</sup>Ra in water is mainly related to the existence 553 of high concentration of chloride ions and <sup>210</sup>Pb with the reduction conditions of aquifers. 554 555 The estimated annual indicative doses calculated by ingestion indicated that SIF exceed 556 0.1 mSv per year. The natural radionuclides that contribute to the indicative doses are especially <sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>210</sup>Pb. In view of these facts, further research is suggested to evaluate 557 558 the potential risk to human health.

### 559 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was partially supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and
Universities, by projects: 1) "Fluxes of radionuclides emitted by the PG piles located in
Huelva; assessment of the dispersion, radiological risks and remediation proposals" (Ref.:
CTM2015-68628-R), and 2) "Equipment for the improvement of the Unity of
Environmental Radioactivity of the University of Huelva" (Ref.: EQC2018-004306-P). "

#### 566 **REFERENCES**

- Altıkulac A., Turhan S., Gumus H., 2015. The natural and artificial radionuclides in
  drinking water samples and consequent population doses. Journal of Radiation Research
  and Applied Sciences 8, 578-582.
- 570 Andreo B. and Carrasco F., 1999. Application of geochemistry and radioactivity in the
- 571 hydrogeological investigation of carbonate aquifers (Sierras Blanca and Mijas, southern
- 572 Spain). Applied Geochemistry 14, 283-299.
- 573 Ansoborlo E., Berard P., Auwer C. D., Leggett R., Menetrier F., Younes A., Montavon
- 574 G., Moisy P., 2012. Review of Chemical and Radiotoxicological Properties of Polonium
- 575 for Internal Contamination Purposes. Chemical Research in Toxicology 25(8):1551-64.
- 576 Baeza A., Del Rio L. M., Jimenez A., Miro C. and Paniagua J. M., 1995. Factors
- 577 Determining the Radioactivity Levels of Waters in the Province of Caceres (Spain). Appl.
- 578 Radiat. lsot. 46, 1053-1059.
- 579 Bhatti I.A., Hayat M.A., Iqbal M. 2012. Assessment of thorium in the environment (a
- review). Journal- Chemical Society of Pakistan 34(4):1012-1022
- 581 Blanco C., Lozano J.C., Tome F.V., 2002. On the use of 225Ra as yield tracer and
- 582 Ba(Ra)SO<sub>4</sub> microprecipitation in <sup>226</sup>Ra determination by a-spectrometry. Appl. Radiat.
  583 Isot. 57, 785-790.
- 584 Bowell R.J., Alpers C. N., Jamieson H. E., Nordstrom D. K., Majzlan J., 2014. The
- 585 Environmental Geochemistry of Arsenic -- An Overview --. Reviews in Mineralogy &
- 586 Geochemistry, 79, 1-16.

- 587 Carvalho F., Fernandes S., Fesenko S., Holm E., Howard B., Martin P., Phaneuf M.,
- 588 Porcelli D., Pröhl G., Twining J., 2017. The Environmental Behaviour of Polonium.
- 589 IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency) Technical Reports Series No. 484.
- 590 Chau N. D., Dulinski M., Jodlowski P., Nowak J., Rozanski K., Sleziak M. and Wachniew
- 591 P., 2011. Natural radioactivity in groundwater a review. Isotopes in Environmental and
  592 Health Studies, 47, 415–437.
- 593 Clausen J. L., Bostick B. and Korte N., 2011. Migration of Lead in Surface Water, Pore
  594 Water, and Groundwater with a Focus on Firing Ranges. Critical Reviews in
  595 Environmental Science and Technology, 41:15, 1397-1448.
- 596 Consejo de seguridad Nuclear (CSN), 2000. Proyecto Marna. Informe Técnico INT-04-597 02.
- 598 COUNCIL DIRECTIVE 2013/51/EURATOM of 22 October 2013 laying down 599 requirements for the protection of the health of the general public with regard to 600 radioactive substances in water intended for human consumption.
- Davis, J.C., 2002. Statistics and Data Analysis in Geology, third ed. Dover Phoenix
  Editions, New York.
- Deverel S. J., Goldberg S., and Fujii R., 1990. Agricultural salinity assessment and
  management, second edition. Chapter: Chemistry of trace elements in soils and
  groundwater. American Society of Civil Engineers.
- Dueñas C., Fernandez M. C., Liger E. and Carretero J., 1997. Natural Radioactivity
  Levels In Bottled Water in Spain. Wat. Res. 31, 1919-1924.

- 608 Erőss A., Csondor K., Izsák B., Vargha M., Horváth Á., Pándics T., 2018. Uranium in
- 609 groundwater The importance of hydraulic regime and groundwater flow system's
- 610 understanding. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 195, 90–96.
- 611 European Federation of Bottled Waters (EFBW). Abril-2019. ("https://www.efbw.org/")
- 612 Flynn W.W., 1968. The determination of low levels of Polonium-210 in environmental
- 613 materials. Anal. Chim. Acta 43, 221-227.
- 614 Galán López M, Martín Sánchez A., 2008. Present status of 222Rn in groundwater in
- 615 Extremadura. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 99, 1539-1543.
- 616 Giménez-Forcada E. and Vega-Alegre M., 2015. Arsenic, barium, strontium and uranium
- 617 geochemistry and their utility as tracers to characterize groundwaters from the Espadán–
- 618 Calderona Triassic Domain, Spain. Science of the Total Environment 512–513, 599–612.
- 619 Grandia, F., Merino, J., Bruno, J., 2008. Assessment of the Radium-barium Co-
- 620 precipitation and its Potential Influence on the Solubility of Ra in the Near-field. Svensk
- 621 Kärnbränslehantering AB, SKB TR-08–07, Stockholm, Sweden. 52 pp.
- 622 Grundl, T., Cape, M., 2006. Geochemical factors controlling radium activity in a sand-
- stone aquifer. Ground Water 44, 518–527.
- 624 Grupo de Hidrología Subterránea (GHS), Abril 2019.
- 625 (https://h2ogeo.upc.edu/es/software-hidrologia-subterrania/11-software-hidrologia-
- 626 subterrania/42-easy-quim%22)
- 627 Guerrero J. L., Vallejos A., Ceron J. C., Sanchez-Martos F., Pulido-Bosch A., J. P.
  628 Bolívar, 2016. U-isotopes and 226Ra as tracers of hydrogeochemical processes in

- 629 carbonated karst aquifers from arid áreas. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 158-630 159, 9-20.
- Hallstadius L., 1984. A method for the electrodeposition of actinides. NIM Phys. Res.223(2-3), 266-267.
- 633 Herranz M., Abelairas A. and Legarda F., 1997. Uranium Contents and Associated
- 634 Effective Doses in Drinking Water from Biscay (Spain). Appl. Radiat. lsot. Vol. 48, No.635 6, pp. 857-861.
- 636 Holm E., Fukai R., 1977. Method for multi-element alpha-spectrometry of actinides and
- 637 its application to environmental radioactivity studies. Talanta 24 (11), 659-664.
- 638 ICRP, 2007. The 2007 Recommendations of the International Commission on
  639 Radiological Protection. ICRP Publication 103. Ann. ICRP 37 (2-4).
- International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), 2003. Guidelines for radioelement
  mapping using gamma ray spectrometry data, Technical Reports Series No. 1363,
  Vienna, Austria.
- International atomic energy agency (IAEA), 2014. Technical Reports Series No. 476. The
  Environmental Behaviour of Radium: Revised Edition.
- Jankovic M. M., Todorovic D. J., Todorovic N. A., Nikolov J., 2012. Natural
  radionuclides in drinking waters in Serbia. Applied Radiation and Isotopes 70, 2703–
  2710.
- Jia G., Torri G., Magro L., 2009 Concentrations of 238U, 234U, 235U, 232Th, 230Th,
  228Th, 226Ra, 228Ra, 224Ra, 210Po, 210Pb and 212Pb in drinking water in Italy:

- reconciling safety standards based on measurements of gross a and b. Journal ofEnvironmental Radioactivity 100, 941–949.
- Jia G.and Torri G., 2007. Estimation of radiation doses to members of the public in Italy
- from intakes of some important naturally occurring radionuclides (238U, 234U, 235U,
- 226Ra, 228Ra, 224Ra and 210Po) in drinking water. Applied Radiation and Isotopes 65,
  849–857.
- Jolliffe, I.T., 2002. Principal Component Analysis, second ed. (Springer Series in
  Statistics).Smedley P.L., Kinniburgh D.G. A review of the source, behaviour and
  distribution of arsenic in natural waters. Applied Geochemistry 17 (2002) 517–568.
- 659 Karamanis D., Stamoulis K., Ioannides K.G., 2007. Natural radionuclides and heavy
- 660 metals in bottled water in Greece. Desalination 213, 90–97.
- 661 Khandaker M. U., Mohd Nasir N. L., Zakirin N. S., Kassim H. A., Asaduzzaman K.,.
- 662 Bradley D.A, Zulkifli M.Y., Hayyan. A., 2017. Radiation dose to the Malaysian populace
- via the consumption of bottled mineral water. Radiation Physics and Chemistry 140, 173–
  179.
- Korkmaz Görür F., Keser R., Dizman S., Okumuşoğlu N.T., 2011. Annual effective dose
  and concentration levels of gross α and β in various waters from Samsun, Turkey.
  Desalination 279, 135–139.
- Kozłowska B., Walencik A., Dorda J., Przylibski T.A., 2007. Uranium, radium and
  40Kisotopes in bottled mineralwaters from Outer Carpathians, Poland. Radiation
  Measurements 42, 1380 1386.

- 671 Kralik C., Friedrich M., Vojir F., 2003. Natural radionuclides in bottled water in Austria.
- 672 Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 65, 233–241.
- Man Y. and Hooda P. S., 2010. Trace elements in soil. Chapter: Chromium, Nickel andCobalt. Wiley.
- 675 Manjon G., Vioque I., Moreno H., Garcia-Tenorio R. and García-Leon M., 1997.
- 676 Determination of <sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>224</sup>Ra in Drinking Waters by Liquid Scintillation Counting.
- 677 Appl. Radiat. lsot. 48, 535-540.
- 678 Manu A., Santhanakrishnan V., Rajaram S., P.M Ravi., 2014. Concentration of natural
- 679 radionuclides in raw water and packaged drinking water and the effect of water treatment.
- 580 Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 138, 456-459.
- Markich S. J., 2002. Uranium Speciation and Bioavailability in Aquatic Systems: An
  Overview. The Scientific World Journal 2, 707-729.
- Martin Sanchez A., Rubio Montero M.P., Gomez Escobar V., Jurado Vargas M., 1999.
- Radioactivity in bottled mineral waters. Applied Radiation and Isotopes 50, 1049-1055.
- 685 Ortega X., Vaks I., and Serrano I., 1996. Natural Radioactivity in Drinking Water in
- 686 Catalonia (Spain). Environment International, 22, S347-S354.
- 687 Palomo M., Peñalver A., Borrull F., Aguilar C., 2007. Measurement of radioactivity in
- bottled drinking water in Spain. Applied Radiation and Isotopes 65, 1165–1172.
- 689 Pérez-Moreno S.M., Gázquez M.J., Casas-Ruiz M., San Miguel E.G., Bolívar J.P., 2019.
- 690 An improved method for radium-isotopes quartet determination by alpha particle
- 691 spectrometry by using <sup>225</sup>Ra (<sup>229</sup>Th) as isotopic tracer. Journal of Environmental
- 692 Radioactivity 196, 113–124.

693 Piper, A. M., 1953. A graphic procedure I the geo-chemical interpretation of water694 analysis, USGS Groundwater Note no, 12.

Porcelli D., 2014. A method for determining the extent of bulk 210Po and 210Pb
adsorption and retardation in aquifers. Chemical Geology 382, 132–139

RD 1798/2010. Real Decreto 1798/2010, de 30 de diciembre, por el que se regula la
explotación y comercialización de aguas minerales naturales y aguas de manantial
envasadas para consumo humano. Boletín Oficial del Estado, 19 de enero de 2011, núm.
6, pp. 6111 a 6133

701 RD 314/2016. Real Decreto 314/2016, de 29 de julio, por el que se modifican el Real 702 Decreto 140/2003, de 7 de febrero, por el que se establecen los criterios sanitarios de la 703 calidad del agua de consumo humano, el Real Decreto 1798/2010, de 30 de diciembre, 704 por el que se regula la explotación y comercialización de aguas minerales naturales y 705 aguas de manantial envasadas para consumo humano, y el Real Decreto 1799/2010, de 706 30 de diciembre, por el que se regula el proceso de elaboración y comercialización de 707 aguas preparadas envasadas para el consumo humano. Boletín Oficial del Estado, 30 de julio de 2016, núm. 183, pp. 53106 a 53126. 708

RD 783/2001. Real Decreto 783/2001, de 6 de julio, por el que se aprueba el Reglamento

710 sobre protección sanitaria contra radiaciones ionizantes. Boletín Oficial del Estado, 26 de

711 julio de 2001, núm. 178 pp. 27284 a 27393

712 Rožmarić M., Rogić M., Benedik L., Štrok M., 2012. Natural radionuclides in bottled

713 drinking waters produced in Croatia and their contribution to radiation dose. Science of

the Total Environment 437, 53–60.

- 715 Santschi, P.H., Murray, J.W., Baskaran, M., Benitez-Nelson, C.R., Guo, L.D., Hung, C.-
- 716 C., Lamborg, C., Moran, S.B., Passow, U., Roy-Barman, M., 2006. Thorium speciation
- 717 in seawater. Mar. Chem. 100, 250-268.
- 718 Shabana E.I. and Kinsara A.A., 2014. Radioactivity in the groundwater of a high
- background radiation area. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 137, 181-189.
- 720 Sherif M. I., Lin J., Poghosyan A., Abouelmagd A., Sultan M. I., Sturchio N. C., 2018.
- 721 Geological and hydrogeochemical controls on radium isotopes in groundwater of the
- Sinai Peninsula, Egypt. Science of the Total Environment 613–614, 877–885.
- 723 Sherif M.I. and Sturchio N. C., 2018. Radionuclide geochemistry of groundwater in the
- Eastern Desert. Egypt. Applied Geochemistry 93, 69-80.
- 725 Sherif, M.I., Sultan, M.I., Sturchio, N.C., 2019. Chlorine isotopes as tracers of solute
- origin and age of fossil groundwater from the Eastern Desert of Egypt. Earth and Plane-
- 727 tary Science Letters, vol. 510, 37-44.
- Somlai J., Horvath G., Kanyar B., Kovacs T., Bodrogi E., Kavasi N., 2002. Concentration
- of 226Ra in Hungarian bottled mineral water. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 62,
  235–240.
- 731 Stackelberg P. E., Szabo Z., Jurgens B. C., 2018. Radium mobility and the age of
- 732 groundwater in public-drinking-water supplies from the Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer
- 733 system, north-central USA. Applied Geochemistry 89, 34–48.
- Sturchio, N.C., Banner, J.L., Binz, C.M., Heraty, L.B., Musgrove, M., 2001. Radium
  geochemistry of ground waters in Paleozoic carbonate aquifers, midcontinent, USA.
- 736 Appl. Geochem. 16, 109–122.

- Szabo, Z., de Paul, V.T., Fischer, J.M., Kraemer, T.F., Jacobsen, E., 2012. Occurrence
  and geochemistry of Radium in aquifers used for drinking water in the United States.
  Appl. Geochem. 27, 729–752.
- 740 Taboada T., Martínez Cortizas A., García C., García-Rodeja E., 2006. Uranium and
- thorium in weathering and pedogenetic profiles developed on granitic rocks from NW
- 742 Spain. Science of the Total Environment 356, 192–206.
- 743 Talvitie N.A., 1972. Electrodeposition of actinides for alpha spectrometric determination.
- 744 Anal. Chem. 44 (2), 280-283.
- 745 Tudorache A., Marin C., Ioniță D. E. and Badea I. A., 2018. Assessing Barium and
- 746 Strontium Concentrations and Speciation in Groundwater from the Area of the Future
- 747 Weak And Medium Radioactive Waste Repository Saligny Romania. Carpathian
- 748 Journal of Earth and Environmental Sciences, 13, 57 66.
- 749 U.S. EPA, 2006. Data Quality Assessment: a Reviewer's Guide (EPA QA/G-9R).
- 750 EPA/240/B-06/002.
- 751 UNE 73340-2:2003. Procedure to obtain samples for the determination of environmental
- radioactivity. Analytical methods. Part 2: Rest beta activity index in water by proportionalcounter.
- UNE-EN ISO 10704:2016. Water quality Measurement of gross alpha and gross beta
  activity in non-saline water Thin source deposit method.
- 756 United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation UNSCEAR,
- 757 2016. Sources, Effects and Risks of Ionizing Radiation Report.

- 758 United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR),
  759 2008. Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation.
- 760 Vasile M., Loots H., Jacobs K., Verheyen L., Sneyers L., Verrezen F., Bruggeman M.,
- 761 2016. Determination of 210Pb, 210Po, 226Ra, 228Ra and uranium isotopes in drinking
- 762 water in order to comply with the requirements of the EU 'Drinking Water Directive'.
- Applied Radiation and Isotopes109, 465–469.
- Vinson, D.S., Tagma, T., Bouchaou, L., Dwyer, G.S., Warner, N.R., Vengosh, A., 2013.
- 765 Occurrence and mobilization of radium in fresh to saline coastal groundwater in-ferred
- <sup>766</sup> from geochemical and isotopic tracers (Sr, S, O, H, Ra, Rn). Appl. Geochem. 38, 161–
- 767 175.
- Wallner G., Wagner R., Katzlberger C., 2008. Natural radionuclides in Austrian mineral
  water and their sequential measurement by fast methods. Journal of Environmental
  Radioactivity 99, 1090-1094.
- Wu Y., Li J., Wang Y., Xie X., 2018. Variations of uranium concentrations in a multiaquifer system under the impact of surface water-groundwater interaction. Journal of
  Contaminant Hydrology 211, 65–76.



**Figure 1.** General lithological map of Spain and the location of the bottled water sources analysed.



Figure 2. pH, EC and DR values of the bottled waters analysed.



Figure 3. Box and whisker plots of major elements and ions.



●AQA ◆NAT ■CAB ×VIR +SOL ●CAZ ◇AQB ▲LAN ■VEL ×REG +SIF ●SIS ◆AGS ▲ Figure 4. Piper diagram of the bottled water sources.



**Figure 5.** Gross  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  activity concentrations of the analysed water samples ( $DL = 10 \text{ mBq } L^{-1}$ ).







Figure 7. PCA results plot of variables (a) and observations (b).

Code	Commercial Name	Batch	Province (Region)	Rock				
1A-AQA	Aquarel	L616679412		Isassa and metamomilie (monites and				
1B-AQA	Aquarel	L618379412	Badajoz (Extremadura)	rgneous and metamorphic (gramtes and				
1C-AQA	Aquarel	L616779412		gneisses)				
2A-NAT	Font Natura	8429359275006						
2B-NAT	Font Natura	8480017269966	Granada (Andalucía).	Carbonated (limestones)				
2C-NAT	Font Natura	8429359275006						
3A-CAB	Cabreiroá	L:14-09-17 18:29	Orence (Calicia)	Lamaana (amaritaa)				
3B-CAB	Cabreiroá	L:27-12-17 11:27	Orense (Gancia)	Igneous (granites)				
4-VIR	Virgen del Camino	170GDMML 08:55	León (Castilla y León).	Sedimentary (Conglomerates and siliceous gravels)				
5A-SOL	Solán de Cabras	L0261691256	Cuenca (Castilla La	Carbonated (lineastance)				
5B-SOL	Solán de Cabras	L0261820852	Mancha).	Carbonated (Innestones)				
6-CAZ	Cazorla	L-27/01/18-1	Jaén (Andalucía).	Carbonated (limestones and dolomites)				
7A-AQB	Aquabona	C2X1844	Tomusl (Amagán)	Carbonated (limestanes and delemites)				
7B-AQB	Aquabona	02M2048	Teruel (Aragon).	Carbonated (Innestones and dolomites)				
8A-LAN	Lanjarón	L-29-06-18 E21:45	Cranada (Andaluaía)					
8B-LAN	Lanjarón	L-04-07-18 E08:38	Granada (Andalucia).	Metamorphic (schists)				
8C-LAN	Lanjarón	L-02-06-18 E19:38						
9A-BEZ	Bezoya	LC1596	Segovia (Castilla y	Matamamhia (anaissas)				
9B-BEZ	Bezoya	LE1746	León).	Wetaniorphic (gneisses)				
10A-VEL	Font Vella	L:10-06-18 JJ10:18	Guadalajara (Castilla La					
10B-VEL	Font Vella	L:22-06-18 J21:57	Mancha).	Carbonated (limestones and dolomites)				
10C-VEL	Font Vella	L:09-06-18 K20:52						
11-REG	Font de Regás	1411 L16167	Girona (Cataluña).	Granites and gneisses				
12-SIF	Sierra Fría	27F0401	Cáceres (Extremadura)	Granites and gneisses				
13-SIS	Sierra de Segura	L-17/06/18-6	Jaén (Andalucía).	Carbonated (limestones and dolomites)				
14-AGS	Aguas de Sousas	07/07/2016 8:50	Ourense (Galicia).	Granites and gneisses				
15-MON	Mondariz	020818-1121	Pontevedra (Galicia).	Granites and gneisses				

 Table 1. Summarised information of the different brands of bottled water analysed.

Samples	Certified activity values (Bq/kg)	Measured activity values (Bq/kg)	$Z_{\text{score}} = \frac{ X_{measured} - X_{certified} }{\sqrt{\sigma_{measured}^2 - \sigma_{certified}^2}}$
	Gros	s alpha	
CSN-2016	$282\pm56$	$279 \pm 32$	0.05
CSN-2017	$0.113\pm0.004$	$0.184 \pm 0.006$	0.07
CSN-2018	$36 \pm 21$	$51 \pm 12$	0.65
	Gro	ss beta	
CSN-2016	$570\pm114$	$640 \pm 18$	0.61
CSN-2017	$1.39\pm0.03$	$1.66\pm0.03$	0.27
CSN-2018	$625\pm52$	$686 \pm 14$	1.15

**Table 2.** Gross alpha and beta activity concentrations obtained in the intercomparisonexercises organised by the Spanish Nuclear Safety Commission (CSN).

		Certified	Measured	
Samples	Radionuclides	activity values	activity values	Zscore
		(Bq/kg)	(Bq/kg)	
	<sup>210</sup> Po	$568 \pm 157$	$574\pm28$	0.04
	<sup>238</sup> U	$55 \pm 10$	$55\pm4$	0.03
CSN 2009	<sup>234</sup> U	$56 \pm 10$	$55\pm4$	0.07
CSIN-2008	<sup>230</sup> Th	$332 \pm 66$	$335 \pm 11$	0.05
	<sup>232</sup> Th	$6.1 \pm 1.2$	$6.5\pm0.8$	0.28
	<sup>226</sup> Ra	$573 \pm 115$	$607\pm25$	0.54
	<sup>210</sup> Po	$25.7\pm9.0$	$31.3\pm1.9$	0.62
	<sup>238</sup> U	$29.4\pm2.8$	$23.5\pm1.6$	1.53
IAEA-326	<sup>234</sup> U	$29.3\pm2.8$	$24.5\pm1.6$	1.81
	<sup>230</sup> Th	$34.1\pm4.6$	$33.3\pm2.5$	0.15
	<sup>232</sup> Th	$39.4\pm3.6$	$39.8\pm2.7$	0.09
	<sup>226</sup> Ra	$32.6\pm3.2$	$35.7 \pm 3.0$	1.00
	<sup>228</sup> Ra	$40.1\pm0.6$	$38.8 \pm 9.2$	0.40
	<sup>210</sup> Po	$28.4\pm9.8$	$33.7\pm2.1$	0.53
	<sup>238</sup> U	$32.8\pm2.0$	$29.1\pm2.5$	1.8
	<sup>234</sup> U	$31.9\pm3.0$	$27.1\pm2.4$	0.71
<b>IAEA-327</b>	<sup>230</sup> Th	$34.1 \pm 3.4$	$31.7\pm1.9$	0.62
	<sup>232</sup> Th	$38.7\pm3.0$	$40.6\pm2.2$	0.51
	<sup>226</sup> Ra	$34.1\pm2.8$	$29.7\pm2.5$	1.69
	<sup>228</sup> Ra	$38.7\pm1.8$	$38.1\pm8.7$	0.07

**Table 3.** Results obtained in the intercomparison exercises and certified reference materials.

	Samples	<sup>238</sup> U (mBq/L)	<sup>234</sup> U (mBq/L)	<sup>226</sup> Ra (mBq/L)	<sup>210</sup> Pb (mBq/L)	<sup>228</sup> Ra (mBq/L)	<sup>234</sup> U/ <sup>238</sup> U	<sup>226</sup> Ra/ <sup>234</sup> U	<sup>210</sup> Pb <sup>/226</sup> Ra	<sup>228</sup> Ra/ <sup>226</sup> Ra	CI
	DC*	2800	3000	500	100	200	-	-	-	-	-
	AQA-1	1.8 ± 0.2	2.8 ± 0.3	34 ± 3	31 ± 1	37 ± 7	$1.6 \pm 0.2$	12 ± 2	$0.91 \pm 0.09$	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	0.72
	AQA-2	1.9 ± 0.2	3.3 ± 0.3	27 ± 3	36 ± 1	26 ± 6	$1.7 \pm 0.2$	8 ± 1	$1.3 \pm 0.2$	$1.0 \pm 0.2$	0.73
	AQA-3	2.1 ± 0.2	2.9 ± 0.3	26 ± 3	43 ± 1	39 ± 7	$1.4 \pm 0.2$	9 ± 1	$1.7 \pm 0.2$	$1.5 \pm 0.3$	0.89
	CAB-1	<ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td>4.8 ± 0.7</td><td><ld< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0.06</td></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld<>	<ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td>4.8 ± 0.7</td><td><ld< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0.06</td></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld<>	<ld< td=""><td>4.8 ± 0.7</td><td><ld< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0.06</td></ld<></td></ld<>	4.8 ± 0.7	<ld< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0.06</td></ld<>	-	-	-	-	0.06
ERS	CAB-2	<ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td>3.7 ± 0.3</td><td>6.0 ± 0.9</td><td>1.2 ± 0.5</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td><math>1.6 \pm 0.3</math></td><td><math>0.3 \pm 0.1</math></td><td>0.07</td></ld<></td></ld<>	<ld< td=""><td>3.7 ± 0.3</td><td>6.0 ± 0.9</td><td>1.2 ± 0.5</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td><math>1.6 \pm 0.3</math></td><td><math>0.3 \pm 0.1</math></td><td>0.07</td></ld<>	3.7 ± 0.3	6.0 ± 0.9	1.2 ± 0.5	-	-	$1.6 \pm 0.3$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	0.07
UIFI	VIR	<ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><math>1.1 \pm 0.1</math></td><td>&lt; LD</td><td><math>1.3 \pm 0.4</math></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td><math>1.2 \pm 0.4</math></td><td>0.01</td></ld<></td></ld<>	<ld< td=""><td><math>1.1 \pm 0.1</math></td><td>&lt; LD</td><td><math>1.3 \pm 0.4</math></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td><math>1.2 \pm 0.4</math></td><td>0.01</td></ld<>	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	< LD	$1.3 \pm 0.4$	-	-	-	$1.2 \pm 0.4$	0.01
EAC	BEZ-1	1.9 ± 0.3	$1.8 \pm 0.3$	2.4 ± 0.2	$1.4 \pm 0.5$	$3.4 \pm 0.7$	0.95 ± 0.22	$1.3 \pm 0.2$	0.58 ± 0.21	$1.4 \pm 0.3$	0.04
LIN	BEZ-2	<ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td>5.0 ± 0.9</td><td><math>2.5 \pm 0.6</math></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0.09</td></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld<>	<ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td>5.0 ± 0.9</td><td><math>2.5 \pm 0.6</math></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0.09</td></ld<></td></ld<>	<ld< td=""><td>5.0 ± 0.9</td><td><math>2.5 \pm 0.6</math></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0.09</td></ld<>	5.0 ± 0.9	$2.5 \pm 0.6$	-	-	-	-	0.09
GR∕	REG	33 ± 2	53 ± 3	5.7 ± 0.3	2.8 ± 0.5	13 ± 1	$1.6 \pm 0.1$	$0.11 \pm 0.01$	0.49 ± 0.09	$2.3 \pm 0.2$	0.15
	SIF	6.9 ± 0.5	6.1 ± 0.4	50 ± 2	78 ± 3	7.3 ± 0.8	$0.88 \pm 0.09$	8.2 ± 0.6	$1.6 \pm 0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	1.31
	AGS	<ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td>2.3 ± 0.2</td><td>4.6 ± 0.5</td><td><math>2.5 \pm 0.5</math></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>2.0 ± 0.3</td><td><math>1.1 \pm 0.2</math></td><td>0.09</td></ld<></td></ld<>	<ld< td=""><td>2.3 ± 0.2</td><td>4.6 ± 0.5</td><td><math>2.5 \pm 0.5</math></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>2.0 ± 0.3</td><td><math>1.1 \pm 0.2</math></td><td>0.09</td></ld<>	2.3 ± 0.2	4.6 ± 0.5	$2.5 \pm 0.5$	-	-	2.0 ± 0.3	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	0.09
	MON	5.1 ± 1.1	5.1 ± 1.0	194 ± 9	7.1 ± 0.8	52 ± 4	$1.0 \pm 0.3$	38 ± 8	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	0.76
	MEAN	7.5 ± 3.3	11 ± 5	35 ± 17	20 ± 7	17 ± 5	1.3 ± 0.1	11 ± 3.7	1.1 ± 0.2	$1.0 \pm 0.2$	0.41 ± 0.13
	NAT-1	9.6 ± 0.9	11 ± 1	8.0 ± 0.5	4.8 ± 0.6	2.6 ± 0.8	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	0.73 ± 0.08	0.60 ± 0.09	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	0.11
	NAT-2	8.3 ± 0.8	8.9 ± 0.8	6.9 ± 0.4	<ld< td=""><td>2.6 ± 1.1</td><td><math>1.1 \pm 0.1</math></td><td><math>0.78 \pm 0.08</math></td><td>-</td><td><math>0.4 \pm 0.2</math></td><td>0.03</td></ld<>	2.6 ± 1.1	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.78 \pm 0.08$	-	$0.4 \pm 0.2$	0.03
	NAT-3	8.7 ± 0.7	11 ± 1	7.5 ± 0.4	<ld< td=""><td>3.2 ± 0.8</td><td><math>1.3 \pm 0.2</math></td><td><math>0.68 \pm 0.07</math></td><td>-</td><td><math>0.4 \pm 0.1</math></td><td>0.04</td></ld<>	3.2 ± 0.8	$1.3 \pm 0.2$	$0.68 \pm 0.07$	-	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	0.04
	SOL-1	17 ± 1	50 ± 2	9.0 ± 0.7	< LD	5.7 ± 1.3	$2.9 \pm 0.2$	$0.18 \pm 0.02$	-	$0.6 \pm 0.2$	0.07
	SOL-2	23 ± 2	60 ± 5	13 ± 1	< LD	5.6 ± 1.4	$2.6 \pm 0.3$	$0.22 \pm 0.02$	-	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	0.08
ERS	CAZ	6.3 ± 1.2	12 ± 2	2.2 ± 0.2	2.1 ± 0.7	2.9 ± 0.7	$1.9 \pm 0.5$	$0.18 \pm 0.03$	0.95 ± 0.33	$1.3 \pm 0.3$	0.06
UIFE	AQB-1	6.2 ± 1.2	14 ± 2	1.2 ± 0.2	< LD	$3.0 \pm 0.6$	2.3 ± 0.5	0.09 ± 0.02	-	$2.5 \pm 0.7$	0.02
E AQ	AQB-2	8.0 ± 1.7	12 ± 2	1.7 ± 0.2	< LD	2.7 ± 0.6	$1.5 \pm 0.4$	$0.14 \pm 0.03$	-	$1.6 \pm 0.4$	0.02
IATI	LAN-1	3.5 ± 1.0	3.6 ± 0.9	1.6 ± 0.2	8.5 ± 0.6	$3.4 \pm 0.6$	$1.0 \pm 0.4$	$0.44 \pm 0.12$	5.3 ± 0.8	$2.1 \pm 0.5$	0.15
BOI	LAN-2	2.8 ± 1.3	2.3 ± 0.9	2.0 ± 0.3	7.6 ± 0.6	5.2 ± 0.9	0.96 ± 0.57	0.74 ± 0.30	3.8 ± 0.6	$2.6 \pm 0.6$	0.15
CAF	LAN-3	4.0 ± 0.9	3.5 ± 0.8	1.6 ± 0.3	8.1 ± 0.6	8.6 ± 1.4	$0.95 \pm 0.31$	$0.42 \pm 0.13$	$5.1 \pm 1.0$	$5.4 \pm 1.3$	0.17
	VEL-1	19 ± 4	52 ± 9	90 ± 3	4.3 ± 0.5	17 ± 1	2.7 ± 0.7	$1.7 \pm 0.3$	$0.05 \pm 0.01$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	0.35
	VEL-2	14 ± 2	39 ± 5	76 ± 3	4.1 ± 0.5	15 ± 1	$2.8 \pm 0.5$	$1.9 \pm 0.3$	$0.05 \pm 0.01$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	0.31
	VEL-3	13 ± 4	40 ± 12	85 ± 3	<ld< td=""><td>21 ± 2</td><td><math>3.1 \pm 1.3</math></td><td><math>2.1 \pm 0.6</math></td><td>-</td><td><math>0.3 \pm 0.1</math></td><td>0.29</td></ld<>	21 ± 2	$3.1 \pm 1.3$	$2.1 \pm 0.6$	-	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	0.29
	SIS	4.4 ± 1.6	9.7 ± 2.6	1.3 ± 0.2	<ld< td=""><td>2.6 ± 0.6</td><td><math>2.2 \pm 1.0</math></td><td><math>0.13 \pm 0.04</math></td><td>-</td><td><math>2.0 \pm 0.6</math></td><td>0.02</td></ld<>	2.6 ± 0.6	$2.2 \pm 1.0$	$0.13 \pm 0.04$	-	$2.0 \pm 0.6$	0.02
	MEAN	9.9 ± 1.8	22 ± 6	20 ± 9	5.6 ± 0.7	6.7 ± 1.6	1.9 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.2	2.3 ± 0.6	$1.4 \pm 0.4$	0.13 ± 0.03

**Table 4.** Activity concentrations ( $mBq L^{-1}$ ) of  $^{210}Pb$ ,  $^{238-234}U$ ,  $^{228-226}Ra$ , activity ratios of  $^{234}U/^{238}U$ ,  $^{226}Ra/^{234}U$ ,  $^{210}Pb/^{226}Ra$  and  $^{226}Ra/^{228}Ra$  ( $DL = 1 mBq L^{-1}$ ) and Concentration Index (CI). \*Derived Concentrations (DC) for radioactivity in water intended for human consumption (RD 314/2016).

Variables	рН	EC	DR	As	Ва	Ca	Cs	к	Mg	Na	Si	Sr	U	Cl-	F⁻	NO₃ <sup>-</sup>	SO₄⁻	HCO₃ <sup>-</sup>	ALPHA	BETA	<sup>238</sup> U	<sup>234</sup> U	<sup>226</sup> Ra	<sup>228</sup> Ra	<sup>210</sup> Pb	<sup>234</sup> U/ <sup>238</sup> U	<sup>226</sup> Ra/ <sup>234</sup> U	<sup>210</sup> Pb/ <sup>226</sup> Ra
рН	1.00																											
EC	0.84	1.00																										
DR	0.83	0.99	1.00																									
As	-0.28	-0.15	-0.16	1.00																								
Ва	-0.04	0.15	0.13	0.50	1.00																							
Ca	0.86	0.91	0.89	-0.05	0.27	1.00																						
Cs	-0.28	-0.27	-0.25	0.09	-0.11	-0.49	1.00																					
К	-0.47	-0.14	-0.09	0.21	0.25	-0.36	0.51	1.00																				
Mg	0.70	0.90	0.88	-0.13	0.16	0.82	-0.34	-0.12	1.00																			
Na	-0.31	-0.24	-0.20	0.02	0.09	-0.47	0.79	0.76	-0.35	1.00																		
Si	-0.56	-0.67	-0.64	-0.09	-0.05	-0.76	0.72	0.52	-0.70	0.76	1.00																	
Sr	0.75	0.73	0.73	0.20	0.40	0.78	-0.08	-0.10	0.55	-0.04	-0.44	1.00																
U	0.49	0.41	0.42	0.53	0.33	0.49	-0.26	-0.07	0.29	-0.11	-0.39	0.72	1.00															
Cl	-0.22	-0.06	0.01	0.18	0.38	-0.18	0.13	0.45	-0.20	0.54	0.20	0.16	0.24	1.00														
F <sup>-</sup>	0.21	0.17	0.20	-0.14	0.05	-0.02	0.79	0.40	-0.03	0.78	0.52	0.29	-0.04	0.21	1.00													
NO <sub>3</sub> -	0.08	0.32	0.37	0.21	-0.28	0.22	0.14	0.22	0.25	-0.03	-0.29	0.13	0.05	-0.09	0.09	1.00												
SO4 <sup>-</sup>	0.77	0.78	0.79	0.15	0.17	0.82	-0.14	-0.17	0.77	-0.19	-0.58	0.79	0.58	-0.11	0.18	0.42	1.00											
HCO3 <sup>−</sup>	0.84	1.00	0.99	-0.17	0.16	0.90	-0.30	-0.13	0.91	-0.26	-0.66	0.71	0.42	-0.07	0.14	0.28	0.77	1.00										
ALPHA	0.00	0.25	0.29	0.64	0.29	0.15	0.07	0.23	0.16	0.01	-0.24	0.39	0.56	0.43	-0.05	0.38	0.28	0.24	1.00									
BETA	-0.07	0.19	0.24	0.10	-0.13	-0.15	0.26	0.48	0.17	0.41	0.06	-0.02	0.18	0.50	0.18	0.23	0.05	0.20	0.56	1.00								
<sup>238</sup> U	0.40	0.37	0.39	0.60	0.36	0.43	-0.20	-0.02	0.24	-0.06	-0.36	0.66	0.97	0.34	-0.02	0.11	0.53	0.38	0.67	0.27	1.00							
<sup>234</sup> U	0.62	0.56	0.57	0.43	0.39	0.65	-0.35	-0.16	0.42	-0.22	-0.48	0.76	0.96	0.17	-0.03	0.03	0.64	0.58	0.55	0.15	0.94	1.00						
<sup>226</sup> Ra	-0.28	-0.16	-0.11	0.58	0.27	-0.29	0.16	0.33	-0.09	0.24	0.07	0.00	0.45	0.56	-0.05	0.02	-0.01	-0.15	0.67	0.57	0.54	0.34	1.00					
<sup>228</sup> Ra	-0.20	-0.15	-0.14	0.65	0.48	-0.18	0.08	0.10	-0.10	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.45	0.35	-0.07	-0.27	-0.04	-0.13	0.62	0.38	0.52	0.44	0.83	1.00				
<sup>210</sup> Pb	-0.61	-0.57	-0.57	0.42	-0.18	-0.71	0.71	0.30	-0.50	0.44	0.52	-0.47	-0.26	0.07	0.24	0.17	-0.34	-0.60	0.22	0.33	-0.15	-0.39	0.45	0.41	1.00			
<sup>234</sup> U/ <sup>238</sup> U	0.59	0.63	0.67	-0.44	-0.04	0.62	-0.62	-0.09	0.61	-0.32	-0.46	0.33	0.29	-0.02	-0.20	0.05	0.42	0.68	-0.02	0.15	0.19	0.42	-0.21	-0.25	-0.80	1.00		
<sup>226</sup> Ra/ <sup>234</sup> U	-0.82	-0.61	-0.57	0.04	-0.18	-0.81	0.43	0.42	-0.51	0.39	0.48	-0.70	-0.57	0.38	-0.02	0.08	-0.65	-0.62	0.14	0.41	-0.44	-0.66	0.41	0.21	0.70	-0.51	1.00	
<sup>210</sup> Pb/ <sup>226</sup> Ra	-0.22	-0.33	-0.37	-0.18	-0.41	-0.22	-0.02	-0.04	-0.12	-0.22	0.15	-0.43	-0.40	-0.77	-0.24	0.10	-0.19	-0.31	-0.59	-0.49	-0.49	-0.46	-0.50	-0.52	0.03	-0.09	-0.08	1.00

**Table 5.** Spearman correlation matrix (non-Gaussian variables). \* Significant correlations at significant level p < 0.05.

Samples	ID
SIF	0.12
AQA	0.07
MON	0.08

**Table 6.** Estimated annual indicative doses (ID) (mSv) by ingestion of 730 L year<sup>-1</sup> for adults from some bottled mineral waters.